BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY
3 1761 03342 7766

THE MENTALLY DISORDERED ACCUSED

(Law 336F)

COURSE MATERIALS

Faculty of Medicine
Department of Psychiatry

and

Faculty of Law
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

Dr. Richard D. Schneider & Dr. Hy Bloom

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY

AUG 21 1998

FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

THE MENTALLY DISORDERED ACCUSED

(Law 336F)

COURSE MATERIALS

Faculty of Medicine
Department of Psychiatry

and

Faculty of Law
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

Dr. Richard D. Schneider & Dr. Hy Bloom

Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto

https://archive.org/details/mentallydisorder00schn_1

THE MENTALLY DISORDERED ACCUSED
(Law 336F)

Faculty of Medicine
Department of Psychiatry

and

Faculty of Law
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

Dr. Richard D. Schneider & Dr. Hy Bloom

TOPICS

		Page
1)	**Mental Disorder and the Law: Historical Considerations.**	
	R.v.Hadfield, 1800	1
	R.v.Oxford, 1840	39
	Daniel M'Naghten's Case, 1843	55
	An Act for the Safe Custody of Insane Persons Charged with Offences., 1800	62
2)	**Pre-Arrest and Police Discretion / Diversion of the Mentally Disordered Accused..**	
	Crown Policy Manual	64
	Diversion of Mentally Disordered Accused: Schneider	73
	Diversion Points of Mentally Disordered Accused: Bloom	75
	Factors Associated with the Diversion of Mentally Disordered Offenders: Davis	76
3)	**Fitness to Stand Trial.**	
	R. v. Taylor, 1992	85
	R. v. Rouse, 1996	95
	R.v.. Taylor: A Decision Not in the Best Interests of Some Mentally Ill Accused : Schneider & Bloom	100
	Fitness to be Sentenced: Schneider	112
	Fitness to Stand Trial: Bloom	123
4)	**Judicial Interim Release.**	
	Lawrie v. The Queen, 1996	130
	Mental Illness and Judicial Interim Release: Bloom & Butler	137

5) Criminal Responsibility /Automatism.

R.v. Swain, 1991 140
Chaulke v. The Queen, 1990 193
R.v. Oomen, 1994 236
R.v. Parks, 1992 243
Not Criminally Responsible: Whether or not the accused should avail himself of the defence: Schneider 269
Not Criminally Responsible: Who can raise the defence and under what circumstances: Schneider 271

6) Diminished Responsibility / Intoxication / Irresistible Impulse.

Specific Intent and Intoxication: Bloom & Butler 273
The Defence of Diminished Responsibility in Canadian Criminal Law: Gannage 280

7) Sexological / Impulse Issues.

Assessing Risk of Sexual Violence: Guidelines for Clinical Practice: Boer *et al.* 300
A Guide for Conducting Risk Assessments: Webster 308

8) Dangerousness / Risk Assessment

Understanding the Connection Between Mental Illness and Violence: Hiday 316
Dangerousness: Leong *et al.* 335

9) Psychiatric Assessments / Remands / Treatment.

Criminal Responsibility: Bloom 343
Rogers Criminal Responsibility Assessment Scales: Rogers 352
R. v. Lenart, 1998 366

10) Opinion Evidence / Expert Evidence.

R.v.Abbey, 1982. 418
Lavallee v. The Queen, 1990 428
R. v. Mohan, 1994 447
D.S.M. - IV Criteria 449

11) Confidentiality and Privilege.

Schneider 464

12) Psychiatric Issues related to Sentencing / Sexual Predator Laws Psychiatric Gating Dangerous Offenders.

Penetanguishene Mental Health Centre v. Stock, 1994 479
Starnaman v. Penetanguishene Mental Health Centre, 1995. 489

Sentencing Mentally Ill Accused: Schneider 498
Psychiatric Gating: Schneider 510
R. v. Currie, 1995 514